

COMPLETE M -CONVEX ALGEBRAS WHOSE POSITIVE ELEMENTS ARE TOTALLY ORDERED

A. EL KINANI, M.A. NEJJARI & M. OUDADESS

Abstract: We show that unitary and complete $l. m. c. a.$'s endowed with certain orders are actually locally C^* -algebras or even reduce to the complex field.

Keywords: Positive elements, $l. m. c. a.$, locally C^* -algebra.

1. Introduction

The aim of this note is to extend to locally m -convex algebras the results of [3]. The matter is then to study the structure of unitary and complete $l. m. c. a.$'s whose positive elements are totally ordered; and this relatively to the orders defined by the cones $A_+ = \{x \in \text{Sym}(A) : \text{Sp}x \subset R_+\}$ and $P = \{x \in A : V(x) \subset R_+\}$. In a locally C^* -algebra (which is of course hermitian), we always have $A_+ = P$. As a converse, we show that, in a complex unital hermitian and complete m -convex algebra, if $A_+ \subset P$, then it is a locally C^* -algebra (Theorem 3.1). It is also known that in a locally C^* -algebra, the cone of positive elements is partially ordered and $A_+ = P$. One may ask whether or not it can be totally ordered. In fact, the last condition appears to be restrictive as propositions 3.2 and 3.4 show.

2. Preliminaries

Let $(A, (|\cdot|_\lambda)_\lambda)$ be a complex unitary and complete locally m -convex algebra ($l.m.c.a.$ in short). It is known that $(A, (|\cdot|_\lambda)_\lambda)$ is the projective limit of the normed algebras $(A_\lambda, \|\cdot\|_\lambda)$, where $A_\lambda = A/N_\lambda$ with $N_\lambda = \{x \in A : |x|_\lambda = 0\}$; and $\|x_\lambda\|_\lambda = |x|_\lambda$, $x_\lambda \equiv x + N_\lambda$. An element x of A is written $x = (x_\lambda)_\lambda = (\pi_\lambda(x))_\lambda$, where $\pi_\lambda : A \rightarrow A_\lambda$ is the canonical surjection. The algebra $(A, (|\cdot|_\lambda)_\lambda)$ is also the projective limit of the Banach algebras \widehat{A}_λ , the completions of A_λ 's. The norm in \widehat{A}_λ will also be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_\lambda$. The numerical range of an element

$a \in A$ is denoted by $V(a)$. Recall that $V(a) = \bigcup_{\lambda} V(\widehat{A}_{\lambda}, a_{\lambda})$, where $V(\widehat{A}_{\lambda}, a_{\lambda})$ is the numerical range of a_{λ} in the Banach algebra \widehat{A}_{λ} . We consider the subsets $P = \{x \in A : V(x) \subset R_+\}$ and $H = \{x \in A : V(x) \subset R\}$. The first subset is said to be the cone of positive elements, of A , relatively to the numerical range. Let $(A, (|\cdot|_{\lambda})_{\lambda})$ be a *l.m.c.a.* endowed with an involution $x \mapsto x^*$. The set of all hermitian elements (i.e., all x such that $x = x^*$) will be denoted by $Sym(A)$. We say that the algebra A is hermitian if the spectrum of every element of $Sym(A)$ is real ([2]). It is said to be symmetric if $e + xx^*$ is invertible, for every x in A . Put $A_+ = \{x \in Sym(A), Spx \subset R_+\}$, the set of all positive elements, of A , relatively to the involution. If A is symmetric then A_+ is a convex cone. A locally C^* -algebra ([4]) is a complete *l.m.c.a.* $(A, (|\cdot|_{\lambda})_{\lambda})$ endowed with an involution $x \mapsto x^*$ such that, for every λ , $|x^*x|_{\lambda} = |x|_{\lambda}^2$, for every $x \in A$. Concerning involutive *l. m. c. a.*'s, the reader is referred to [2]. In the sequel, all algebras are complex. The spectral radius will be denoted by ϱ that is $\varrho(x) = \sup\{|z| : z \in Spx\}$, where Spx is the spectrum of x .

3. Structure results

It is not always true that $A_+ \subset P$ as the following result shows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(A, (|\cdot|_{\lambda})_{\lambda})$ be an involutive commutative, unitary, complete and hermitian *l. m. c. a.* If $A_+ \subset P$, then A is a locally C^* -algebra for an equivalent family of semi-norms.*

Proof. Since the algebra is hermitian, we have $Sym(A) = A_+ - A_+$ for $h = (h^2 + e) - (h^2 - h + e)$, for every $h \in Sym(A)$. On the other hand, A_+ satisfies the following condition

$$(e + u)^{-1} \in A_+; \text{ for every } u \in A_+. \quad (1)$$

Now $P_{\lambda} = \pi_{\lambda}(P) \subset \widehat{P}_{\lambda}$ where $\widehat{P}_{\lambda} = \{a \in \widehat{A}_{\lambda} : V(\widehat{A}_{\lambda}, a) \subset R_+\}$. But \widehat{P}_{λ} is normal; whence the normality of P follows and so the one of A_+ . The convex cone $\pi_{\lambda}(A_+)$, in \widehat{A}_{λ} , is stable by product, normal and satisfies (1). By ([1], proposition 12, p. 258), we have $\pi_{\lambda}(A_+) \subset \{u \in \widehat{A}_{\lambda} : Spu \subset R_+\}$. The closed convex cone $K_{\lambda} = \overline{\pi_{\lambda}(A_+)}$ satisfies also these properties. Put $B_{\lambda} = K_{\lambda} - K_{\lambda}$, a real subalgebra, of \widehat{A}_{λ} , generated by K_{λ} . It is closed by ([1], theorem 2, p. 260). We now show that the complex subalgebra $B_{\lambda} + iB_{\lambda}$ is closed in \widehat{A}_{λ} . Using the normality of K_{λ} , one obtains that, for every λ , there is $\beta_{\lambda} > 0$ such that, for every $h \in B_{\lambda}$, $\|h\|_{\lambda} \leq \beta_{\lambda} \|h + ik\|_{\lambda}$, for every $k \in B_{\lambda}$. Whence the closedness of $B_{\lambda} + iB_{\lambda}$. But $A_{\lambda} = \pi_{\lambda}(A) \subset B_{\lambda} + iB_{\lambda}$. Hence $B_{\lambda} + iB_{\lambda}$ is dense in \widehat{A}_{λ} . Whence $B_{\lambda} + iB_{\lambda} = \widehat{A}_{\lambda}$. By ([1], theorem 2, p. 260), we have $Sp h \subset R$, for every $h \in B_{\lambda}$. Moreover $B_{\lambda} \cap iB_{\lambda} = \{0\}$, due to the normality of K_{λ} . Hence a hermitian involution $(h + ik)^* =$

$h - ik$, is defined on \widehat{A}_λ . At last, again the normality of K_λ implies $\|h\|_\lambda \leq \alpha \rho(h)$, for some $\alpha > 0$ and every h in B_λ . We conclude by a result of Pták ([6]; (8,4) Theorem). ■

If the order is total, we do not need the commutativity and the conclusions show that this condition is very strong.

We begin with the order associated to A_+ .

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(A, (|\cdot|)_\lambda)$ be an involutive, unitary and complete $l. m. c. a.$ If (A_+, \leq) is totally ordered, then $A_+ = R_+$.*

Proof. We first show that $\rho(x) < +\infty$, for every $x \in A_+$. Since the order is total on A_+ , we have $x \leq n$ or $n \leq x$, for every $n \in N^*$. If $Sp x$ is unbounded, then $n \leq x$, for every n ; a contradiction with $Sp x \neq \emptyset$ ([5]). Suppose now that $x \in A_+$ and $0 \in Sp x$. For every $\alpha > 0$, one gets $x \leq \alpha$, for otherwise $\alpha < 0$. Whence $Sp x = \{0\}$ and hence $x = 0$. On the other hand, if $x \in A_+$ and $0 \notin Sp x$, put $m = \inf \{\beta : \beta \in Sp x\}$. Then one has $0 \in Sp(x - m)$ otherwise $x - m$ would be invertible and $\rho((x - m)^{-1}) = +\infty$; a contradiction for $(x - m)^{-1} \in A_+$. Hence $x = m \in A_+$. ■

An interesting application of this proposition is contained in the following result.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $(A, (|\cdot|)_\lambda)$ be an involutive, unitary and complete $l. m. c. a.$ If (A_+, \leq) is totally ordered, then*

- (i) $\{x \in Sym(A) : Sp x \subset R\} = R$,
- (ii) If A is hermitian, then $A = C$.

Proof. (i) Every $x \in Sym(A)$ with $Sp x \subset R$ can be written $x = (x^2 + e) - (x^2 - x + e)$. And then the assertion (ii) follows immediately from (i). ■

We now examine the order associated to P .

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(A, (|\cdot|)_\lambda)$ be a unitary and complete $l. m. c. a.$ If (P, \leq) is totally ordered, then $P = R_+$.*

Proof. Let $x \in P$ and $r = \inf \{\alpha : \alpha \in V(x)\}$. Then, for every $n \in N^*$, we have $x \leq r + \frac{1}{n}$; otherwise there is $n_0 \in N^*$ such that $r + \frac{1}{n_0} < x$, i.e. $V(x - r - \frac{1}{n_0}) \subset R_+$. Due to the definition of $V(x - r - \frac{1}{n_0})$, one immediately checks that $r + \frac{1}{n_0} < \alpha$, for every α in $V(x)$. Hence $r + \frac{1}{n_0} \leq r$; a contradiction. Now $x \leq r + \frac{1}{n}$ means $\beta \leq r + \frac{1}{n}$, for every β in $V(x)$. So $V(x) \subset [r, r + \frac{1}{n}]$, for every n . And since $V(x)$ is non void, we get $V(x) = \{\beta_0\}$. Whence $x = \beta_0$. ■

We have the following consequence.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $(A, (|\cdot|)_\lambda)$ be a unitary and complete $l. m. c. a.$ If (P, \leq) is totally ordered and $A = H + iH$, then A is isomorphic to C .*

Proof. Since every $h \in H$ can be written $h = \frac{1}{2} [(h + e)^2 - (h^2 + e)]$, it is sufficient to show that $h^2 \in P$, for every $h \in H$. Let $p, q \in H$ such that

$h^2 = p + iq$. We have $h_\lambda^2 = p_\lambda + iq_\lambda$ in \widehat{A}_λ for every λ , with $p_\lambda, q_\lambda \in H_\lambda$, where $H_\lambda = \{u \in \widehat{A}_\lambda : V(\widehat{A}_\lambda, u) \subset R\}$. The identity $h_\lambda h_\lambda^2 = h_\lambda^2 h_\lambda$ yields $h_\lambda p_\lambda - p_\lambda h_\lambda = i(q_\lambda h_\lambda - h_\lambda q_\lambda)$. Whence $h_\lambda p_\lambda - p_\lambda h_\lambda \in H_\lambda \cap iH_\lambda$ ([1], lemma 2, p. 206). Hence $h_\lambda p_\lambda = p_\lambda h_\lambda$; and so $p_\lambda q_\lambda = q_\lambda p_\lambda$. We then have $V(h_\lambda^2) \subset Co(Sph_\lambda^2) \subset R_+$, where Co stands for the convex hull. The first inclusion is due to [1], lemma 4, p. 206. It follows that $V(h^2) \subset R_+$. ■

References

- [1] F.F. Bonsall, J. Duncan, *Complete normed algebras*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Band 80, Springer Verlag (1973).
- [2] M. Fragoulopoulou, *Symmetric Topological *-Algebras Applications*, Schriftenreihe der Mathematischen Instituts und der Graduiertenkollegs der Universität Munster, 3 Serie, Heft 9 (1993).
- [3] A. El Kinani, M. Oudadess, *Banach algebras whose positive elements are totally ordered*, Maghreb Math. Rev., Vol. 3, N° 2, December (1994), 117–120.
- [4] A. Inoue, *Locally C*-algebras*, Mem. Faculty Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A, 25 (1971), 197–235.
- [5] E. A. Michael, *Locally multiplicatively-convex topological algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1952).
- [6] V. Pták, *Banach algebras with involution*, Manuscripta Math. 6 (1972), 245–290.

Address: Ecole Normale Supérieure, B.P.5118-Takaddoum, 10105 Rabat, Maroc
Received: 15 May 2001