

TAME KERNELS OF QUADRATIC NUMBER FIELDS: NUMERICAL HEURISTICS

JERZY BROWKIN

Abstract: Basing on conjectures given by H. Cohen, H.W. Lenstra, Jr. and J. Martinet [2], [3], [4], [5] concerning the heuristics on class groups of number fields we deduce some quantitative conjectures on the statistical behaviour of orders of the tame kernel $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ of the ring \mathcal{O}_F of integers of quadratic number fields F of discriminants D , $|D| \leq x$.

We investigate the number of D 's such that for $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ the order of $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ is divisible by 3.

Keywords: Tame kernel, quadratic fields, numerical heuristics.

1. Introduction

First we prove an asymptotic formula for the number of fundamental discriminants D of quadratic number fields satisfying $|D| \leq x$ and belonging to a fixed arithmetic progression $D \equiv l \pmod{k}$.

Let k, l be integers, $k > 0$. Denote by $D(x, k, l)$, (resp. by $Q(x, k, l)$) the number of fundamental discriminants D of quadratic number fields (resp. the number of squarefree integers D) satisfying

$$0 < D \leq x \quad \text{and} \quad D \equiv l \pmod{k}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \gcd(k, l), \\ p(k) &= \prod_{\substack{p|k \\ p\text{-prime}}} (1 - p^{-2})^{-1}, \\ q(k, l) &= \frac{1}{dk} \varrho p(k), \end{aligned}$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11R11; Secondary 11R70, 11Y40

where

$$\varrho = \varrho(k, l) = \frac{d}{P} \varphi(P),$$

and $P = P(k, l)$ is the product of all prime divisors of d , which do not divide k/d .

Lemma 1.1. (E. Landau [6]) *In the above notation we have*

$$Q(x, k, l) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} q(k, l) \cdot x + o(x),$$

if d is squarefree, and

$$Q(x, k, l) = 0$$

otherwise. ■

Example. $q(4, l) = \frac{1}{3}$, for $l = 1, 2, 3$, and $q(4, 0) = 0$.

Let us remark that $q(k, l)$ depends only on k and $d = \gcd(k, l)$, and not on the particular value of l .

Lemma 1.2. *The function $q(k, l)$ is multiplicative with respect to the first argument:*

If

$$l \equiv l_1 \pmod{k_1},$$

$$l \equiv l_2 \pmod{k_2},$$

where $\gcd(k_1, k_2) = 1$, and $k = k_1 \cdot k_2$, then

$$q(k, l) = q(k_1, l_1) \cdot q(k_2, l_2).$$

Proof. Let $d_i = \gcd(k_i, l_i)$, $\varrho_i = \varrho(k_i, l_i)$, $P_i = P(k_i, l_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Then evidently

$$d = d_1 \cdot d_2, \text{ with } \gcd(d_1, d_2) = 1,$$

$$p(k) = p(k_1) \cdot p(k_2),$$

$$P = P_1 \cdot P_2, \quad \varrho = \varrho_1 \cdot \varrho_2,$$

and the lemma follows. ■

Thus it is sufficient to determine $q(k, l)$, for k being a prime power.

Example. From the definition of $q(k, l)$ we get

- 1) $k = p$: $q(p, 1) = p/(p^2 - 1)$, $q(p, 0) = 1/(p + 1)$.
- 2) $k = p^2$: $q(p^2, 1) = q(p^2, p) = 1/(p^2 - 1)$, $q(p^2, p^2) = 0$.
- 3) $k = p^n$, ($n \geq 3$): $q(p^n, 1) = q(p^n, p) = 1/p^{n-2}(p^2 - 1)$, $q(p^n, p^r) = 0$, for $r \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $k = 2^\alpha k'$, where $\alpha \geq 0$, and $2 \nmid k'$.

Then

$$D(x, k, l) = q(k', l) \cdot \delta(2^\alpha, l) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} x + o(x).$$

where $\delta(2^\alpha, l)$ is equal respectively

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{if } \alpha = 0, \\ & \frac{1}{3} \quad \text{if } \alpha = 1, \quad l \text{ is odd,} \\ & \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^{\alpha-2}} \quad \text{if } \alpha \geq 2, \quad l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ & \frac{1}{6} \quad \text{if } \alpha = 1 \text{ or } 2, \text{ and } l \equiv 0 \pmod{2^\alpha}, \\ & \frac{1}{12} \quad \text{if } \alpha = 3, \quad l \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{8}, \\ & \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^{\alpha-2}} \quad \text{if } \alpha \geq 4, \quad l \equiv 8, 12 \pmod{16}, \\ & 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We look for fundamental discriminants D satisfying

- (0) $D \equiv l \pmod{k}$, or equivalently
- (1) $D \equiv l \pmod{2^\alpha}$,
- (2) $D \equiv l \pmod{k'}$.

Fundamental discriminants of quadratic number fields are characterized by the condition:

- (3) $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, D squarefree,
- or
- (4) $D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $D/4 \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$, $D/4$ squarefree.

Therefore from (1) we get a necessary condition for l :

- (5) $l \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{\min(2, \alpha)}}$,

or

- (6) $l \equiv 8, 12 \pmod{2^{\min(4, \alpha)}}$.

If this condition is not satisfied, then there are no fundamental discriminants satisfying (0).

We consider separately several cases.

- 1) $\alpha = 0$.

Then the number of fundamental discriminants D satisfying (1), (2), and (3) or (4) is

$$q(k', l) \cdot q(4, 1) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} x + q(k', l) \cdot (q(4, 2) + q(4, 3)) \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{x}{4} + o(x) = q(k, l) \cdot \frac{3}{\pi^2} \cdot x + o(x).$$

2) l odd, $\alpha \geq 1$.

$\alpha = 1$.

Then (1) implies that $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, D squarefree. Consequently the number of fundamental discriminants in question is

$$q(k', l) \cdot q(4, 1) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} x + o(x) = q(k', l) \cdot \frac{2}{\pi^2} x + o(x).$$

$\alpha \geq 2$.

From the necessary condition we get $l \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Hence $D \equiv l \pmod{2^\alpha}$, and the number of fundamental discriminants is

$$q(k', l) \cdot q(2^\alpha, 1) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} x + o(x) = q(k', l) \cdot \frac{1}{2^{\alpha-3}\pi^2} x + o(x).$$

3) l is even, $\alpha \geq 1$.

$\alpha = 1$.

From (6) we get $l \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Hence (4) holds, and the number of fundamental discriminants is

$$q(k', l) \cdot (q(4, 2) + q(4, 3)) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{x}{4} + o(x) = q(k', l) \cdot \frac{1}{\pi^2} x + o(x).$$

$\alpha = 2$.

Now (6) implies $l \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and we get the same number of discriminants as in the latter case.

$\alpha = 3$.

From (6) we get $l \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{8}$. Hence $D/4 \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{8}$ respectively, and in both cases the number of fundamental discriminants is

$$q(k', l) \cdot q(4, 2) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{x}{4} + o(x) = q(k', l) \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi^2} x + o(x),$$

since $q(4, 2) = q(4, 3)$.

$\alpha \geq 4$.

Now (6) implies $l \equiv 8, 12 \pmod{16}$, hence $D/4 \equiv l/4 \pmod{2^{\alpha-2}}$, i.e. $D/4 \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{2^{\alpha-2}}$, $D/4$ squarefree. The number of such D 's in both cases is

$$\begin{aligned} q(k', l) \cdot q(2^{\alpha-2}, 2) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{x}{4} + o(x) &= q(k', l) \cdot \frac{1}{2^{\alpha-4} \cdot 3} \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{x}{4} + o(x) = \\ &= q(k', l) \cdot \frac{1}{2^{\alpha-3}\pi^2} x + o(x), \end{aligned}$$

since $q(2^{\alpha-2}, 2) = q(2^{\alpha-2}, 3)$. ■

Remark. It is clear that the same formula holds for the number of negative fundamental discriminants D satisfying $|D| \leq x$ and $D \equiv l \pmod{k}$.

Corollary. The function

$$\Delta(x, k, l) := \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{D(x, k, l)}{x}$$

is multiplicative with respect to k asymptotically, i.e. if

$$k = k_1 k_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd(k_1, k_2) = 1,$$

then

$$\Delta(x, k, l) - \Delta(x, k_1, l) \cdot \Delta(x, k_2, l) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. We can assume that k_2 is odd, then $k = 2^\alpha k'$, $k_1 = 2^\alpha k'_1$, $k_2 = k'_2$, $k' = k'_1 k'_2$, and $\gcd(k'_1, k'_2) = 1$. Consequently

$$\Delta(x, k, l) \rightarrow q(k', l) \cdot \delta(2^\alpha, l) = q(k'_1, l) \cdot \delta(2^\alpha, l) \cdot q(k'_2, l),$$

by Lemma 1.2.

On the other hand

$$\Delta(x, k_1, l) \rightarrow q(k'_1, l) \delta(2^\alpha, l),$$

$$\Delta(x, k_2, l) \rightarrow q(k'_2, l).$$

Hence the result. ■

2. The divisibility by 3 of order of $K_2 \mathcal{O}_F$

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, not a square, let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$ and let \mathcal{O}_F be the ring of integers of F . Denote by $K_2 \mathcal{O}_F$ the tame kernel of \mathcal{O}_F .

Let $h(a)$ be the class number of F , and $k(a)$ the order of $K_2 \mathcal{O}_F$.

Assuming a conjecture of Cohen and Martinet we shall determine the number of fundamental discriminants D of quadratic number fields satisfying

$$(7) \quad 0 < D \leq x \quad \text{and} \quad 3|k(D).$$

We use the following theorem, which follows from Theorem 5.6 in [1].

Theorem 2.1. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, and $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3d})$, where d is a squarefree integer.

(i) If $d \not\equiv 6 \pmod{9}$ then

$$3|k(d) \quad \text{iff} \quad 3|h(-3d)$$

(ii) If $d \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$, then

$$3|k(d).$$

We assume the following conjecture of H. Cohen and J. Martinet (see [5], p. 330) :

Conjecture. For fixed natural numbers m and k , the positive (resp. negative) fundamental discriminants D satisfying

$$m|h(D)$$

are uniformly distributed in arithmetical progressions with the difference k .

More precisely, if for fixed k and l there is a fundamental discriminant D satisfying $D \equiv l \pmod{k}$, and e.g. $D < 0$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{|D| \leq x : D < 0, D \text{ fundamental}, D \equiv l \pmod{k}, m|h(D)\}}{\#\{|D| \leq x : D < 0, D \text{ fundamental}, D \equiv l \pmod{k}\}} =: \gamma_-(m)$$

exists and does not depend on k and l .

The corresponding constant for $D > 0$ we denote by $\gamma_+(m)$.

All pairs k, l satisfying the above assumption are described in Theorem 1.3 above.

The values of conjectural constants $\gamma_{\pm}(m)$ are given in the paper [3]. E.g.

$$\gamma_-(3) \approx 0.439874 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_+(3) \approx 0.159811.$$

Let d be the squarefree kernel of a fundamental discriminant $D > 0$, and let D' be the discriminant of the imaginary field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3D})$. Thus

$$D' = \begin{cases} -3D, & \text{if } 3 \nmid D, \\ -D/3, & \text{if } 3 \mid D. \end{cases}$$

To estimate the number of D 's satisfying (7) we shall consider several cases.

$$1^\circ \quad d \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.$$

Then

$$D = \begin{cases} d, & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{12}, \\ 4d, & \text{if } d \equiv 7 \text{ or } 10 \pmod{12} \end{cases} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \pmod{12}, \\ 28 \text{ or } 40 & \pmod{48}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$D' = -3D \equiv \begin{cases} -3 & \pmod{36}, \\ -84 \text{ or } -120 & \pmod{144}. \end{cases}$$

Hence (7) is equivalent to

$$|D'| \leq 3x \quad \text{and} \quad 3|h(D').$$

Thus the number of D 's in question is respectively

$$D(3x, 36, -3)\gamma + o(x) = q(9, 3) \cdot \delta(4, 1) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot 3x\gamma + o(x) = \frac{3x}{4\pi^2}\gamma + o(x),$$

$$D(3x.144. -84)\gamma + o(x) = q(9.3) \cdot \delta(16.12) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot 3x\gamma + o(x) = \frac{3x}{16\pi^2}\gamma + o(x).$$

$$D(3x.144. -120)\gamma + o(x) = q(9.3) \cdot \delta(16.8) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot 3x\gamma + o(x) = \frac{3x}{16\pi^2}\gamma + o(x).$$

where $\gamma = \gamma_-(3)$.

$$\underline{2^\circ \quad d \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.$$

Then

$$D = \begin{cases} d. & \text{if } d \equiv 5 \pmod{12}. \\ 4d. & \text{if } d \equiv 2 \text{ or } 11 \pmod{12}. \end{cases} \equiv \begin{cases} 5 & \pmod{12}. \\ 8 \text{ or } 44 & \pmod{48}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$D' = -3D \equiv \begin{cases} -15 & \pmod{36}. \\ -24 \text{ or } -132 & \pmod{144}. \end{cases}$$

Hence (7) is equivalent to

$$|D'| \leq 3x \quad \text{and} \quad 3|h(D').$$

Thus the number of D 's in question is respectively

$$D(3x.36. -15)\gamma + o(x) = q(9.3) \cdot \delta(4.1) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot 3x\gamma + o(x) = \frac{3x}{4\pi^2}\gamma + o(x).$$

$$D(3x.144. -24)\gamma + o(x) = q(9.3) \cdot \delta(16.8) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot 3x\gamma + o(x) = \frac{3x}{16\pi^2}\gamma + o(x).$$

$$D(3x.144. -132)\gamma + o(x) = q(9.3) \cdot \delta(16.12) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot 3x\gamma + o(x) = \frac{3x}{16\pi^2}\gamma + o(x).$$

where $\gamma = \gamma_-(3)$.

$$\underline{3^\circ \quad d \equiv 3 \pmod{9}.$$

Then

$$D = \begin{cases} d. & \text{if } d \equiv 21 \pmod{36}. \\ 4d. & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \text{ or } 30 \pmod{36}. \end{cases} \equiv \begin{cases} 21 & \pmod{36}. \\ 12 \text{ or } 120 & \pmod{144}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$D' = -D/3 \equiv \begin{cases} -7 & \pmod{12}. \\ -4 \text{ or } -40 & \pmod{48}. \end{cases}$$

Hence (7) is equivalent to

$$|D'| \leq x/3 \quad \text{and} \quad 3|h(D').$$

Thus the number of D 's in question is respectively

$$D(x/3, 12, -7)\gamma + o(x) = q(3, 1) \cdot \delta(4, 1) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{x}{3}\gamma + o(x) = \frac{x}{4\pi^2}\gamma + o(x).$$

$$D(x/3, 48, -4)\gamma + o(x) = q(3, 1) \cdot \delta(16, 12) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{x}{3} \gamma + o(x) = \frac{x}{16\pi^2} \gamma + o(x),$$

$$D(x/3, 48, -40)\gamma + o(x) = q(3, 1) \cdot \delta(16, 8) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{x}{3} \gamma + o(x) = \frac{x}{16\pi^2} \gamma + o(x),$$

where $\gamma = \gamma_-(3)$.

$$4^\circ \quad d \equiv 6 \pmod{9}.$$

Then

$$D = \begin{cases} d, & \text{if } d \equiv 33 \pmod{36}, \\ 4d, & \text{if } d \equiv 6 \text{ or } 15 \pmod{36} \end{cases} \equiv \begin{cases} 33 & \pmod{36}, \\ 24 \text{ or } 60 & \pmod{144}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$D' = -D/3 \equiv \begin{cases} -11 & \pmod{12}, \\ -8 \text{ or } -20 & \pmod{48}. \end{cases}$$

Hence (7) is equivalent to

$$|D'| \leq x/3.$$

Thus the number of D 's in question is respectively

$$D(x/3, 12, -11) = q(3, 1) \cdot \delta(4, 1) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{x}{3} + o(x) = \frac{x}{4\pi^2} + o(x),$$

$$D(x/3, 48, -8) = q(3, 1) \cdot \delta(16, 8) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{x}{3} + o(x) = \frac{x}{16\pi^2} + o(x),$$

$$D(x/3, 48, -20) = q(3, 1) \cdot \delta(16, 12) \cdot \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{x}{3} + o(x) = \frac{x}{16\pi^2} + o(x).$$

This leads to the following

Theorem 2.2. *Assume the above conjecture for $m = 3$ and negative fundamental discriminants of quadratic number fields.*

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{0 < D \leq x : D - \text{fundamental}, 3|k(D)\}}{\#\{0 < D \leq x : D - \text{fundamental}\}}$$

exists and equals

$$\frac{7\gamma_-(3) + 1}{8} \approx 0.509890.$$

Proof. Summing up the numbers of D 's in $1^\circ - 4^\circ$ above we get

$$\frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{16} + \frac{1}{16} \right) \cdot (3\gamma + 3\gamma + \gamma + 1)x + o(x) = \frac{3}{8\pi^2} (7\gamma + 1)x + o(x).$$

Since $D(x, 1, 1) = \frac{3}{\pi^2}x + o(x)$, the result follows. ■

Remark. One can prove a similar result for D negative. Then assuming the above conjecture for $m = 3$ and positive fundamental discriminants of quadratic number fields we get that the analogous limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{-x \leq D < 0 : D - \text{fundamental}, 3|k(D)\}}{\#\{-x \leq D < 0 : D - \text{fundamental}\}}$$

exists and equals

$$\frac{7\gamma_+(3) + 1}{8} \approx 0.264834.$$

Acknowledgement The first version of this paper has been presented during the Workshop on K-Theory and Number Fields, Nijmegen 1995. I would like to express my gratitude to organizers for invitation and hospitality.

References

- [1] J. Browkin, *On the p -rank of the tame kernel of algebraic number fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **432** 1992, 135–149. MR 93j : 11077.
- [2] H. Cohen and H.W. Lenstra, Jr., *Heuristics on class groups of number fields*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1068** 1984, 33–62. MR 85j : 11144 .
- [3] H. Cohen and J. Martinet, *Class groups of number fields: Numerical heuristics*, Math. of Computation **48** (177) 1987, 123–137. MR 88e : 11112.
- [4] H. Cohen and J. Martinet, *Étude heuristique des groupes de classes des corps de nombres*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **404** 1990, 39–76. MR 91k : 11097.
- [5] H. Cohen and J. Martinet, *Heuristics on class groups: Some good primes are not so good*, Math. Comp. **63** (207) 1994, 329–334. MR 94i : 11087,
- [6] E. Landau, *Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen*, II, second ed., Chelsea, 1953, [§174 Hilfssatz über $Q(x; k, l)$, pp. 633–637]

Address: Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw, ul. Banacha 2, PL-02-097 Warsaw, Poland

E-mail: bro@mimuw.edu.pl

Received: 1 Feb 2000